## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/005,212	GILLIES ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Prema M Mertz	1646

interview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Prema M Mertz	1646	L	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Prema M Mertz (Primary Examiner).	(3) <u>Dr. J. Way</u> .			
(2) <u>Brian Fairchild (Attorney)</u> .	(4) Dr. Stephen D. Gillies.			
Date of Interview: <u>16 March 2004</u> .				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	)]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-3,23 and 24</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Trinchieri et al (US Patent No. 5,457,038) and WO 97/20062</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant contents.	CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/005,212

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)**

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant indicated that the p35 subunit of IL-12 had a tendency to be degraded rapidly in the absence of the p40 subunit. Furthermore, the heterodimeric fusion protein comprising a first chimeric chain comprising the p35 subunit of IL-12 and a portion of an IgG heavy chain and a second chain comprising the p40 subunit of IL-12 yielded an active secreted protein for which there was no clear expectation of success in the prior art .